



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/876,937	06/16/97	WOODWARD	D 16955DIVCONC

ROBERT J BARAN
ALLERGAN INC
2525 DUFONT DRIVE
IRVINE CA 92612-1599

HM12/0524

EXAMINER

O SULLIVAN, P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1621

DATE MAILED:

19
05/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
08/876,937

Applicant(s)
Woodward et al.

Examiner
Peter O'Sullivan

Group Art Unit
1621



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 6, 1999

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 26-46 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 46 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 26-45 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1621

1. Claims 26-46 are pending in this application. A new rejection is set forth below.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 26, 28-34 and 36-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for R1 as H, lower alkyl or a cation, does not reasonably provide enablement for applicants added groups. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 26-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. U.S. '383. Claims 26-45 of this application has been copied from U.S. Patent No. 5,510,383.

Contra applicants' arguments, the disclosure of '567 is seen to be narrower than the present claims.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop '383. Bishop et al. disclose compounds of general formula I to be useful in treating glaucoma and ocular hypertension. In said formula, R1 may be hydrogen, a cationic salt moiety, a pharmaceutically acceptable amine moiety or C1-C12 alkyl, cycloalkyl or aryl and R2 may be chloro or trifluoromethyl. The instant invention differs from the teaching of the cited reference in although generically disclosed, not all of the compounds are specifically exemplified in the reference. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of the cited references, to make other of applicants' compounds in view of compounds actually made in the Bishop et al. '383 reference and to expect them to be useful in the treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension.

8. Claim 46 is not rejected, but is subject to a possible interference.

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at telephone number (703) 308-4526.


PETER O'SULLIVAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200